

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/462,381	Applicant(s) DI SILVESTRO ET AL.	
	Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski.

(2) Martin Bruehs.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 25 June 2004
Time: 1400
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment that corrected a typo in line 3 claim 18. The examiner also retyped the abstract on a separate sheet of paper
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)